CA	<b>\</b> -	P	N		١	V	
----	------------	---	---	--	---	---	--

Project Name:	CALTIDES
OCIO Project #:	
Department:	CDE and CCTC
Revision Date:	9/9/09

### **Current Status Report**

Questions	Yes/No	Cause	Impact	Action Required
Were recent milestones completed on schedule?	No	Cost Evaluation not yet complete due to clarifications needed from at least one vendor.	Selection delayed until late September. SPR development in progress.	Developing questions for vendors.
Were any key milestones or deliverables rescheduled?	Yes	Procurement delays	Vendor selection still planned for late September	SPR will be developed for submittal in mid November
3. Was work done that was not planned?	Yes	Multiple RFP addendums		
4. Were there any changes to scope?	Yes	Mapping of certain data shifted from CCTC to vendor.	See explanation in Look Ahead view item #4.	SPR will be developed for submittal in mid November
5. Were tasks added that were not originally estimated?	Yes	Multiple RFP addendums		
6. Were any tasks or milestones removed?	No			

### **CA-PMM**

Project Name:	CALTIDES
	<del></del>

OCIO Project #:\_

Department: CDE and CCTC

**Revision Date:** 9/9/09

# **Status Report**

7. Were any scheduled tasks not started?	Yes	A complexity with the cost proposal evaluations has caused a delay of 6 weeks in the selection process. Intent to Award expected delayed from September 10, 2009 to October 22, 2009.	Development and submittal to DGS of Final Evaluation and Selection Report delayed pending needed clarifications from vendors.	SPR development in progress. Expect submittal to OCIO by mid November.
8. Are there any new major issues?	No			
9. Are there any staffing problems?	Yes	DGS post-RFP directive to provide M&O via civil servants.	CDE is seeking funding for 6 technical staff to provide M&O services for CALTIDES.	Include in upcoming SPR.

$\sim$ $\wedge$		7 N	Л	R A	A
LA	- 1	- 11	Л	IV	1

Project Name:	CALTIDES
OCIO Project #:	
Department:	CDE and CCTC
<b>Revision Date:</b>	9/9/09

#### **Look Ahead View**

Questions	Yes/No	Impact	Action Required
Will upcoming critical path milestones or deliverables be delayed?	Yes	Minimum 1 year 8 month delay for Procurement Completion	Reevaluation of implementation schedule to business cycle
2. Do any key milestones or deliverables need to be rescheduled?	Yes	Minimum 1 year 8 month delay for all post procurement milestones	Reevaluation of implementation schedule to business cycle
3. Is there any unplanned work that needs to be done?	Yes	Risk Mitigation	As specified in the FSR, CALTIDES will automate the assignment monitoring (AM) process to approximately 80 percent. Currently AM is a local process conducted by county offices of education. In order to automate the process, a new standard business must be developed. Accordingly, the CDE engaged the Placer County Office of Education to review and analyze current local assignment monitoring processes and recommend a new AM process based on CALTIDES.

**CA-PMM** 

Project Name:	CALTIDES
OCIO Project #:	
Department:	CDE and CCTC
Revision Date:	9/9/09

# **Status Report**

Are there any expected or recommended changes to scope?	Yes	IA bottor undoretanding of the	Document in SPR that will be submitted in mid-November.
5. Are there any tasks not originally estimated that will need to be added?	No		
6. Are there any tasks or milestones that should be removed from the plan?	No		
7. Are there any scheduled tasks whose start will likely be delayed?	Yes	Minimum 1 year 8 month delay for all post procurement milestones.	Reevaluation of implementation schedule to business cycle.
8. Are any major new issues foreseeable?	No		

Confidential Status Report Page 4 of 11

Project Name: CALTIDES	. <u>.</u>	
OCIO Project #:		01 - 1 D
Department: CDE and CCTC	Status Report	
Revision Date: 9/9/09		
9. Are any staffing problems anticipated?	No	

Confidential Status Report Page 5 of 11

CA	-P	M	M

Project Name:	CALTIDES	
OCIO Project #:		
Department:	CDE and CCTC	
<b>Revision Date:</b>	9/9/09	

#### **Current Status and Accomplishments:**

Describe deliverables completed and milestones met during this reporting period.

**Procurement Phase Activities are 87% complete.** Cost proposals were opened on July 23, 2009. Cost Proposal Evaluation remains in progress.

Documentation of existing Business Process continues. Efforts are on track as planned.

Statewide Educator ID (SEID) Implementation is as previously reported - 86% complete. For the remaining 14%, half are due to needed conversion of lifetime credentials (expected completion date is 5/2010). The remaining 7% is due to districts that didn't report a SEID for various reasons. CDE and CTC currently researching and following up with LEAs/districts.

Lifetime Credential Conversion progress continues. Currently CTC is converting an average of 1,800 Credentials per month. There are approximately 10,000 records for which further information is needed. CTC is currently working with LEAs to resolve outstanding records associated with educator name changes. The CTC May 2010 projection for the completion of lifetime credential conversion remains unchanged.

#### Project Milestones:

List key milestones and their dates from the project schedule.

Milestone	Target Date	Forecast Date	Status	Cause & Impact to Implementation Date	Date Completed
Phase I: FSR and ITPP Development and Approval	5/12/06	10/12/06	Complete		5/12/06
Phase II: Contractor Procurements and Contract Approvals	2/5/07	2/19/10	In progress	Procurement phase delays	
Phase III: System Development and Implementation	2/22/10	12/27/11	Not started		

$\wedge$		V	11	١./
<b>LA</b>	ν=1	IV	П	V

Project Name:	CALTIDES
OCIO Project #:	
Department:	CDE and CCTC
<b>Revision Date:</b>	9/9/09

#### Variances

Check the appropriate box for each project element listed below. Please describe the actions you plan to take for those items marked "Caution" or "Significant Variance".

	On Plan <5%	Caution 5-10%	Significant Variance >10%	Action Required
Schedule			X	Due to 1 year 8 month Procurement Phase delay, reevaluation of implementation schedule to business cycle.
Milestones			Х	Due to 1 year 8 month Procurement Phase delay, SPR will be developed for submittal in mid November.
Deliverables	X			
Resources	Х			
OneTime Cost			Х	Revised costs based on Cost Proposals will be documented in the SPR that will be submitted in mid-November.
Continuing Cost			Х	Revised costs based on Cost Proposals will be documented in the SPR that will be submitted in mid-November.

CA-	PI	И	N	
-----	----	---	---	--

<b>Project Name:</b>	CALTIDES
OCIO Project #:	
Department:	CDE and CCTC
<b>Revision Date:</b>	9/9/09

### **Status Reports – Sponsor to Steering Committee**

#### **Summary Milestones and Highlights**

Project Milestones: List key milestones and their dates from the project schedule. Explain in issues section if a milestone's status is behind.							
Milestone	Target Date	Forecast Date	Status	If Delayed, Impact to Implementation Date	Date Completed		
Phase I: FSR and ITPP Development and Approval	5/12/06	10/12/06	Complete		5/12/06		
Phase II: Contractor Procurements and Contract Approvals	2/5/07	2/19/10	In progress	Procurement phase delays			
Phase III: System Development and Implementation	2/22/10	12/27/11	Not started				

Variances				
	On Plan <5%	Caution 5-10%	Significant Variance >10%	Action Required
Schedule			X	Due to 1 year 8 month Procurement Phase delay, reevaluation of implementation schedule to business cycle.
Milestones			X	Due to 1 year 8 month Procurement Phase delay, SPR will be developed for submittal in mid November.
Deliverables	X			
Resources	X			
One Time Cost			Х	Revised costs based on Cost Proposals will be documented in the SPR that will be submitted in mid-November.
Continuing Cost			Х	Revised costs based on Cost Proposals will be documented in the SPR that will be submitted in mid-November.

Λ			N.	П	N	Л
Н	-	_	N	/	I	и

Project Name:	CALTIDES
OCIO Project #:	
Department:	CDE and CCTC
<b>Revision Date:</b>	9/9/09

### **Monitoring Vital Signs Scorecard**

Vital Sign	Variance	Value	Your Score
	High Degree of Buy-In	0	
1. Customer Buy-In	Medium Degree of Buy-In	1	0
	Low Degree of Buy-In	2	
	Strong Viability	0	
Technology Viability	Medium Viability	1	0
	Weak Viability	2	
	<5%	0	
3. Status of the Critical Path (delay)	5% to 10%	1	2
	>10%	2	
4. Cook to Data va Fatimated Cook to	<5%	0	
<ol> <li>Cost-to-Date vs. Estimated Cost-to- Date (higher)</li> </ol>	5% to 10%	1	2
Date (Higher)	>10%	2	
E. Ligh Drobobility High Impact	0 to 3	0	
<ol> <li>High-Probability, High-Impact</li> <li>Risks</li> </ol>	4 to 6	1	1
INISKS	>6	2	
6. Unresolved Issues	On time	0	
(on time resolution)	Late with no impact	1	0
	Late impacting the critical path	2	
	Fully engaged	0	
7. Sponsorship Commitment	Partially engaged	1	0
	Inadequate engagement	2	

### **CA-PMM**

<b>Project Name:</b>	CALTIDES
•	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

OCIO Project #:

Department: CDE and CCTC

**Revision Date: 9/9/09** 

# **Status Report**

	Strong alignment	0	
8. Strategy Alignment	Partial alignment	1	0
	Weak or no alignment	2	
	Strong	0	
9. Value-to-Business	Medium	1	0
	Weak	2	
10. Vendor Viability (provide	Strong	0	
rationale for the rating in the field	Medium	1	0
following the scorecard)	Weak	2	
11. Milestone Hit Rate	>90% on time	0	
rate of achievement as planned)	80-90% on time	1	2
(rate of admevement as planned)	<80% on time	2	
12. Deliverable Hit Rate	>90% on time	0	
(rate of production as planned)	80-90% on time	1	0
(rate of production as planned)	<80% on time	2	
	>90% assigned and available	0	
13. Actual vs. Planned Resources	80-90% assigned and available	1	0
	<80% assigned and available	2	
14. Overtime Utilization	<15%	0	
(% of effort that is overtime)	15-25%	1	0
(70 of effort that is evertime)	>25%	2	
	Highly Effective	0	
15. Team Effectiveness	Moderately Effective	1	0
	Ineffective	2	
		Total	7

	Λ	$\Box$	R A	IR/
L	A·	•Р	M	IV

Project Name:	CALTIDES
OCIO Project #:	
Department:	CDE and CCTC
Revision Date:	9/9/09

Yellow = 9 - 19Red = 20 +

### **Vendor Viability Rating Rationale**

Rating based on review of technical proposals.